

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress C (MMISSI-DER CF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D C 2021) www.uspo.cov

APPLICATION NO), I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 942,183		08 29 2001	David J. Corisis	4813US (01-0104)	6985
24247	7590	02 28 2003			
TRASK E	BRITT		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX SALT LAI		JT 84110		GREENE, PERSHELLE L	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2826	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(a)	r
			Applicant(s)	
	Office Action Summary	09/942,183	CORISIS ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MANUFACTOR AND CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Pershelle Greene	2826	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail of the second section apports.	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address	•
THE - Externation - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period fire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	36(a) In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this communicat NED (35 U S C § 133)	ion
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 /	Δυαμεί 2001		
2a)□		is action is non-final.		
· —	. —			
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims			S IS
4)[]	Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application	1.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9,23,36,38-58 and</u>	73 is/are withdrawn from consi	deration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)[Claim(s) <u>10-22,24-35,37 and 59-62</u> is/are rejective.	oted.		
7)[:	Claim(s) <u>63-72</u> is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
	on Papers			
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)[]	The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2001 is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)	proved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applica	ation No	
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·	
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	heta(e) (to a provisional applica	tion)
а	\Box The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been re	eceived	
15) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 12	20 and/or 121.	
Attachmen	t(s)			
) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper Nois)	
Patent and Tr	ademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/942.183 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

Serial Number: 09/942183

Attornev's Docket #: 2269-4813US

Filing Date: 08/29/2001

Applicant: Corisis et al. Examiner: Pershelle Greene

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the polymeric film and the routing element superimposed over the semiconductor device must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 10-22, 24-35, 37, and 60-62 are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/942.183

Art Unit: 2826

As to claims 10-22, 24-35, and 37, where is the polymeric film shown? Is the substrate 41 supposed to be the polymeric film?

As to claims 11-13, and 60 -62, is unclear how the polymeric film or the routing element is superimposed over the semiconductor device. Where is this shown?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 10, 25, and 59, in so far as can be understood, are being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olejniczak et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,462,976).

As to claim 10, 25 and 59, Olejniczak et al. discloses a substrate with conductive traces. There is a polymeric film with conductive traces on the top of the polymeric layer. A capacitor is attached to the substrate. The polymeric film serves as the routing element.

Claim Objections

5. Claims 63-72 are being objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,183

Art Unit: 2826

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pershelle Greene whose telephone number is 703-305-3870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Nathan Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

PLG February 24, 2003

